IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Ronald M. Estep,)	Case No. 6:22-cv-4669-RMG
Plaintiff,)))	ORDER
V.)	
Medical Solutions, Sgt. Francis)	
Defendant .)	
)	

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt. No. 17) recommending that the case should be dismissed without prejudice, without leave to amend, and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge recommends the dismissal of this action because there is no federal jurisdiction and Plaintiff has failed to set forth claims upon which relief can be granted. Prior to issuing the R & R, the Magistrate Judge informed Plaintiff that his complaint was deficient and afforded him the opportunity to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff did not submit an amended complaint.

Plaintiff was given notice of his right to file objections to the R & R within 14 days of service and that failure to timely file specific written objections would waive the right to file an appeal of the judgment of the District Court based upon the R & R. (*Id.* at 5). Plaintiff has filed no objections to the R & R.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with this Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). This Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. Additionally, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in

6:22-cv-04669-RMG Date Filed 03/19/23 Entry Number 19 Page 2 of 2

part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where the plaintiff fails to file any specific objections, "a district court need not conduct a de novo

review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in

order to accept the recommendation." See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d

310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (internal quotation omitted).

After reviewing the full record in this matter, the Court finds the Magistrate Judge ably

addressed the issues presented and correctly concluded that this action should be dismissed without

prejudice, without leave to amend, and without issuance or service of process. The Court adopts

the R & R (Dkt. No. 17) as the order of the Court and dismisses this action without prejudice.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Richard Mark Gergel
United States District Judge

March 19, 2023 Charleston, South Carolina

-2-